## Approved For Release 2005/01/13 : CIA-RDP80B01554R003300230016-8

			/ August 19/9	
£				
	MEMORANDUM FOR:	Deputy to the DCI for	Resource Management	
	FROM:	Director of Central In	telligence	
25X1	SUBJECT:	RMS Activities		
	has stimulated moduring that conve	t loud with you and you e to think more on what ersation. I felt that what I had in mind and	Thursday and the opportunity r key staff. Our conversation I was trying to articulate I left you with a fair amount therefore would like to	
25X1 25X1	budgetary items; of detail that sh points with no se covering in the p with about the point w then, in my opini In our case much	and of these three off nould be left to the processe of criticism at what the processe. As I mentioned to office. I believe had mail on, began to get bogged will be lost if RMS does	t in my mind with respect to, and IRO dealing in more than ices not getting into a level ogram managers. I make these at these offices have been byou, I draw some analogies RMS is today maturing at tured in 1965. His office down in budgetary details. The sen't help me keep an eye on or the trees—on where we are	
	to stepping back to how. Moreover the details of ho resistance we are	nity. I don't have any from the fray and looking, in our case, as in well program managers go as going to build up. You the the program managers	the rorganization dedicated ing at why and what as opposed the more we get into about their business, the more ou have all done such a good that I don't want to see that	:
	3. What d Here are a few of	o I mean, however, by I my views:	ooking toward the longer term?	
7	a. Ho is desirab	w much duplicatory anal le? Is it in the right	ysis takes place? How much areas?	
	4 X			

25×1

25X1

Approved For Release 2005/01/13: CIA-RDP80B01554R003300230016-8

- b. What is the dividing line between processing and analyzing?
- c. Which computerized information processing programs within the Community need to be compatible; are compatible?
- d. How do we define what is national and tactical? Is it the user of the data? Is it the producer of the data? Is it the general relevance of the data? Is it the unusual emergency relevance of the data? Is it the interface with other collection activities in an operational sense? Is it the interface in a managerial sense?
- e. Is the balance of effort between production and collection appropriate? Is the balance of funding between production and collection appropriate?
- f. Is the balance between different types of collection appropriate? (Careful not to conflict with CTS.)
- 4. I recognize that these kinds of problems may almost be intractable. I would suggest a bite-size approach to them, however. For instance, I wonder if we couldn't take some bit of information that was collected and follow it through the process. Who collected it? Who processed it? How soon was it disseminated? How was it disseminated? Were the computers that knew about it able to talk to each other or did it make any difference if they were? Who produced from it? Did they come to the same conclusions? Was their dissemination appropriate? Was there comparison, dialogue on the product? Were the consumers' needs satisfied by the product, and in a timely manner?
- 5. I don't know whether this clarifies or further confuses the issues that we discussed, but after you have had a chance to kick the whole thing around, let's sit down again.

St	•
STANSFIELD TURNER	
0	
- Ky	

25X1